





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/942,897	08/30/2001	James A. Ringlien	16958 USA	9836
75	90 10/10/2003		EXAM	NER .
H. G. Bruss 25-LDP			PYO, KEVIN K	
Owens-Illinois,	Inc.			
One SeaGate			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Toledo, OH 43666			2878	
Toledo, OH 4.	3000		2878	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 5	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office A - 41 - 11 October 1	09/942,897	RINGLIEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Pyo	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh et with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
AV Decreasive to communication (a) filed at	: : :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	— is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	is o couldn't be secution as to the menus is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii iioiii consideration.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	··· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	n de la companya di salah di s	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	: :	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 55 G.C.C. 3 1 Tota	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •	
Attachment(s)	F. 1211 GILGOL GO G. 0.0. 33 121	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Applicatiön (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/942,897 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Regarding claim 5, claim 5 recites in lines 5-6 the limitation "directing light energy onto

each container traveling in said path in a plane at an angle to the axis of the container". It is

unclear what exactly the recited plane refers to. Does it refer to a horizontal plane perpendicular

to the axis of the container (32) and parallel to the direction of translation of the container?

Clarification is required. Further, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "a light path coplanar

with the incident light energy and with said axis" of lines 10-11. Does it mean that a plane in

which the light beam (36) directed at an angle to the axis of the container and a plane in which

the reflected light beam portions (40, 44) are disposed are the same? Clarification is required.

Claims not specifically mentioned above are rejected by virtue of their dependency on a

rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. 14.1. W. .

- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gast et al (6,172,355; hereinafter Gast) in view of Brand et al (4,822,171; hereinafter Brand).

Regarding claims 1, 5 and 9, as far as the claim is understood, Gast shows in Figs.1 and 10A an apparatus for inspecting containers (22) as they are rotating about their axes while traveling along an abutment assembly (abstract). Gast does not specifically mention that its device is able to measure wall thickness of a container. However, Brand et al discloses an optical apparatus (col.4, lines 37-64) for measuring the wall thickness of transparent objects comprising a light source (1), an illumination lens system (3, 5, 7), a light sensor (13), an imaging lens system (12) and an information processor (18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art include the optical apparatus of Brand et al in the device of Gast in view of the ability to obtain more information (i.e. wall thickness) bout containers passing by.

Regarding claim 2, Gast discloses the recited rail (68, 70).

Regarding claim 3, Gast discloses a linear rail (col.6, line 17).

Regarding claim 4, the limitations therein are shown in Fig.1 of Gast and Fig.1 of Brand.

Art Unit: 2878

L. W.

Regarding claim 6, the limitations therein are inherently disclosed by the device of Gast in view of Brand.

Regarding claim 7, the limitation therein is disclosed in col.7, lines 51-55 of Gast.

Regarding claim 8, the limitations therein are disclosed by Gast (col.8, lines 36-40; col.7, lines 51-55).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Brand shows in Fig.1 an illumination lens system includes a mirror (5) and an actuator (6).

Regarding claim 12, the limitation therein is shown in Fig.4 of Brand.

Regarding claim 13, Gast discloses an encoder (61 in Fig. 16).

Regarding claim 14, the limitation therein is shown in Figs.1 and 10A of Gast.

Regarding claims 15-19, the specific optic elements and configurations utilized would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of design requirements, the desired performance, manufacturing cost, the availability of parts, etc.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Williams et al (EP 0320139 A2) is cited for disclosing an apparatus for measuring the wall thickness of transparent containers. Ringlien (6,256,095) is cited for an apparatus for inspecting the sealing surface area of a container.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Pyo whose telephone number is 703-308-4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (with flexible hour), First Mon. off.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,897

Art Unit: 2878

the second

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Kevin Pyoé

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2878

Page 5

Pkk 9/24/03